



Grace Academy Solihull

Statement of Procedures for

Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers and Other Staff and Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

Policy Reference:	GA-P010
Version:	V3.0
Status:	Operational
Authors:	D. Russell
Applicable to:	Solihull
Checked by:	LGB
Valid From:	September 2021
Review Date:	September 2023





Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

Contents	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Communicating the Procedures	3
3. Immediately Reporting an Allegation	4
4. Duties and Responsibilities of the Designated Safeguarding Lead	4
5. Appendix 1 – DFE Statutory Guidance	5



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

1. Introduction

- 1.1** This Statement of Procedures follows the DfE Statutory Guidance Keeping Children Safe in Education January 2021 (Post EU Exit) **Part four: Allegations of abuse made against teachers and other staff (Appendix 1) has been split into two sections covering the two levels of allegation - those that meet the harms threshold and those that don't. (KCSIE 2021)**

It is about managing cases of allegations that might indicate that a person is unsuitable to continue to work with children in their present position, or in any capacity. It will be used in respect of all cases where it is alleged that an employee or volunteer, or a person with permission to be on the premises or carrying out any activity on behalf of the Academy has;

- Behaved in a way that has harmed a child, or may have harmed a child;
- Possibly committed a criminal offence against or related to a child;
- Behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicates he or she would pose a risk of harm if they work regularly or closely with children.
- Behaved or may have behaved in a way that indicates they may not be suitable to work with children.

This will include allegations involving any type of abuse or neglect, including inappropriate relationships with students, grooming behaviour of any kind, possession of indecent photographs or images of children and other offences under the Sexual Offences Act 2003.

The policy relates to members of staff, supply staff and volunteers who are currently working in Grace Academy Solihull regardless of whether the school is where the alleged abuse took place.

- 1.2** Abuse is a form of maltreatment of a child. Somebody may abuse or neglect a child by inflicting harm or by failing to act to prevent harm.
- 1.3** Any allegation of abuse or poor or unsafe practice will be dealt with as quickly as possible, in a fair, consistent and thorough way that provides effective protection for the child or children and at the same time deals fairly with the person who is the subject of the allegation.
- 1.4** This document should be read alongside the Academy Safeguarding Policy (GA-SP029), Child Protection Policy (GA-SP009), Disciplinary Policy (TLT Policy - 2.2.4) and Whistleblowing Policy (TLT Policy - 1.1).

2. Communicating the Procedures

- 2.1** All members of the senior management team and the designated safeguarding lead with responsibility for child protection have a responsibility to ensure that all staff know about and understand paragraph 3 below.
- 2.2** In accordance with the DfE Statutory Guidance, all staff should also read and understand at least Part one of Keeping children safe in education, which contains Safeguarding information for all staff. This will be distributed at the time of induction. However, if a staff member does not receive this document they must request a copy. All staff must also read any updates as and when they are made available.
- 2.3** All members of the senior management team and the designated safeguarding lead have a



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

responsibility to be familiar with the Statutory Guidance in its entirety.

3. Immediately Reporting an Allegation

3.1 Everyone who comes into contact with children and their families has a role to play in safeguarding children. If you have a concern that a person (as described in paragraph 1.1 above) may have behaved inappropriately or you have received information that may constitute an allegation **you must:**

- Report the facts to the **Principal or Vice Principal in the absence of the Principal**. All concerns will be listened to and managed appropriately; do not withhold information however trivial it may seem and;
- **If the matter concerns the Principal, then the matter must be referred to the CEO**, who will, in line with paragraph 107 in appendix 1, contact the LADO.
- **In the absence of the Principal or Vice Principal, then you** must report the matter to the most senior person available.
- Make a signed and dated written record of your concerns, observations or the information you have received and give it to **the Principal or Vice Principal**.
- Maintain strict confidentiality.

3.2 You must not:

- Attempt to deal with the situation yourself.
- Make assumptions, offer alternative explanations or diminish or embellish the seriousness of the behaviour or alleged incidents.
- Keep the information to yourself or promise confidentiality.
- Take any action that might undermine any investigation or disciplinary procedure, such as disclosing confidential information, interviewing the alleged victim or potential witnesses, or informing the alleged perpetrator or parents/carers.

4. Duties and Responsibilities of the Designated Safeguarding Lead

4.1 The duties and responsibilities of the Designated Safeguarding Lead are as described in Keeping **children safe in education 2021** Part four: Allegations of abuse against teachers and other staff (Appendix 1)

4.2 The contact details for the Local Authority Designated Officer for Safeguarding are:

- **Grace Academy Solihull:** Simon Stubbs - 0121 788 4310/cpru@solihull.gcxx.gov.uk



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

APPENDIX 1

DfE STATUTORY GUIDANCE KEEPING CHILDREN SAFE IN EDUCATION SEPTEMBER 2021

Part four: Allegations of abuse made against teachers and other staff

Part 4 has been split into two sections covering the two levels of allegation - those that meet the harms threshold and those that don't.

The updated guidance explains that:

- You should do basic enquiries in line with local procedures to establish facts before contacting the local authority designated officer (LADO)
- A case manager (likely the headteacher or principal) will lead any investigation
- The case manager should discuss any concerns about the welfare of other children in the community or member of staff's family with the DSL, and make a risk assessment. The DSL may then need to make a referral to children's social services
- The last bullet point in paragraph 339 refers to behaviour that may have happened outside of school, that might make an individual unsuitable to work with children (known as transferable risk) and where appropriate you should carry out an assessment of transferable risk.

The updated paragraphs explain that:

- As another alternative to suspending a member of staff, you should consider moving the child to a class where they won't come into contact with the staff member
- No information about the staff member involved can be shared with other staff or parents not directly involved
- For reports found to be 'unsubstantiated, unfounded, false or malicious', it's the DSL that will consider the appropriate next steps
- For reports found to be 'deliberately invented or malicious', it's the school that should consider possible disciplinary action in line with its behaviour policy
- You should remove details of allegations from personnel records, when allegations are found to be false (previously this only applied to allegations found to be 'malicious')

New guidance on allegations that don't meet the harms threshold ('low level' concerns) (paragraphs 406 to 427)

- You should have policies and processes in place to manage concerns and allegations that don't meet the 'harms threshold' to take appropriate action to safeguard children
- How you can promote an open and transparent culture where all levels of concern are shared and dealt with promptly and appropriately
- Definition and examples of low-level concerns
- How to record low level concerns



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

Initial considerations

The procedures for dealing with allegations need to be applied with common sense and judgement. Many cases may well either not meet the criteria set out above, or may do so without warranting consideration of either a police investigation or enquiries by local authority children's social care services. In these cases, local arrangements should be followed to resolve cases without delay.

Some rare allegations will be so serious they require immediate intervention by children's social care services and/or police. **Principal or Vice Principal in the absence of the Principal, and the designated safeguarding lead** should be informed of all allegations that come to a school or college's attention and appear to meet the criteria so they can consult police and children's social care services as appropriate.

The following definitions should be used when determining the outcome of allegation investigations:

Substantiated: there is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation;

-
- **Malicious:** there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation and there has been a deliberate act to deceive;
- **False:** there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation;
- **Unsubstantiated:** there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation. The term, therefore, does not imply guilt or innocence;
- **Unfounded:** to reflect cases where there is no evidence or proper basis which supports the allegation being made.

In the first instance the **Principal and/or the designated safeguarding lead**, the principal or (where the principal is the subject of an allegation the chair of governors, **or the CE**), should discuss the allegation immediately with the **Local Authority Designated Officer(s)/LADO**. The purpose of an initial discussion is for the **LADO** and the case manager to consider the nature, content and context of the allegation and agree a course of action. The **LADO** may ask the case manager to provide or obtain relevant additional information, such as previous history, whether the child or their family have made similar allegations previously and about the individual's current contact with children. There may be situations when the case manager will want to involve the police immediately, for example if the person is deemed to be an immediate risk to children or there is evidence of a possible criminal offence. Where there is no such evidence, the case manager should discuss the allegations with the **LADO** in order to help determine whether police involvement is necessary.

The initial sharing of information and evaluation may lead to a decision that no further action is to be taken in regard to the individual facing the allegation or concern, in which case this decision and a justification for it should be recorded by both the case manager and the **LADO**, and agreement reached on what information should be put in writing to the individual concerned and by whom. The case manager should then consider with the **LADO** what action should follow both in respect of the individual and those who made the initial allegation.

The case manager should inform the accused person about the allegation as soon as possible after consulting the **LADO**. It is extremely important that the case manager provides them with as much information as possible at that time.

If there is cause to suspect a child is suffering or is likely to suffer significant harm, a strategy discussion should be convened in accordance with the statutory guidance Working together to safeguard children. If



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

the allegation is about physical contact, the strategy discussion or initial evaluation with the police should take into account that teachers and other school and college staff are entitled to use reasonable force to control or restrain children in certain circumstances, including dealing with disruptive behaviour.

Where it is clear that an investigation by the police or children's social care services is unnecessary, or the strategy discussion or initial evaluation decides that is the case, the LADO should discuss the next steps with the case manager. In those circumstances, the options open to the school or college depend on the nature and circumstances of the allegation and the evidence and information available. This will range from taking no further action to dismissal or a decision not to use the person's services in future. Suspension should not be the default position: an individual should be suspended only if there is no reasonable alternative.

In some cases, further enquiries will be needed to enable a decision about how to proceed. If so, LADO should discuss with the case manager how and by whom the investigation will be undertaken. In straightforward cases, the investigation should normally be undertaken by a senior member of the school or college's staff.

Supporting those involved

Employers have a duty of care to their employees. They should act to manage and minimise the stress inherent in the allegations process. Support for the individual is vital to fulfilling this duty. Individuals should be informed of concerns or allegations as soon as possible and given an explanation of the likely course of action, unless there is an objection by the children's social care services or the police. The individual should be advised to contact their trade union representative, if they have one, or a colleague for support. They should also be given access to welfare counselling or medical advice where this is provided by the employer.

The case manager should appoint a named representative to keep the person who is the subject of the allegation informed of the progress of the case and consider what other support is appropriate for the individual. For staff in maintained schools and colleges, that may include support via the local authority occupational health or employee welfare arrangements. Particular care needs to be taken when employees are suspended to ensure that they are kept informed of both the progress of their case and current work-related issues. Social contact with colleagues and friends should not be prevented unless there is evidence to suggest that such contact is likely to be prejudicial to the gathering and presentation of evidence.

Parents or carers of the child or children involved should be told about the allegation as soon as possible if they do not already know of it. However, where a strategy discussion is required, or police or children's social care services need to be involved, the case manager should not do so until those agencies have been consulted and have agreed what information can be disclosed to the parents or carers. Parents or carers should also be kept informed about the progress of the case, and told the outcome where there is not a criminal prosecution, including the outcome of any disciplinary process. The deliberations of a disciplinary hearing, and the information taken into account in reaching a decision, cannot normally be disclosed but the parents or carers of the child should be told the outcome in confidence.

Parents and carers should also be made aware of the requirement to maintain confidentiality about any allegations made against teachers whilst investigations are ongoing as set out in section 141F of the Education Act 2002. If parents or carers wish to apply to the court to have reporting restrictions removed, they should be told to seek legal advice.

In cases where a child may have suffered significant harm, or there may be a criminal prosecution, children's



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

social care services, or the police as appropriate, should consider what support the child or children involved may need.

Confidentiality

It is extremely important that when an allegation is made, the school or college makes every effort to maintain confidentiality and guard against unwanted publicity while an allegation is being investigated or considered. The Education Act 2002 introduced reporting restrictions preventing the publication of any material that may lead to the identification of a teacher in a school who has been accused by, or on behalf of, a student from the same school (where that identification would identify the teacher as the subject of the allegation). The reporting restrictions apply until the point that the accused person is charged with an offence, or until the Secretary of State publishes information about an investigation or decision in a disciplinary case arising from the allegation. The reporting restrictions are disapplied if the individual to whom the restrictions apply effectively waives their right to anonymity by going public themselves or by giving their written consent for another to do so or if a judge lifts restrictions in response to a request to do so. The provisions commenced on 1 October 2012.

The legislation imposing restrictions makes clear that “publication” of material that may lead to the identification of the teacher who is the subject of the allegation is prohibited. “Publication” includes “any speech, writing, relevant programme or other communication in whatever form, which is addressed to the public at large or any section of the public”. This means that a parent who, for example, published details of the allegation on a social networking site would be in breach of the reporting restrictions (if what was published could lead to the identification of the teacher by members of the public).

In accordance with the Authorised Professional Practice published by the College of Policing in May 2017, the police will not normally provide any information to the press or media that might identify an individual who is under investigation, unless and until the person is charged with a criminal offence. (In exceptional cases where the police would like to depart from that rule, for example an appeal to trace a suspect, they must apply to a magistrates’ court to request that reporting restrictions be lifted.)

The case manager should take advice from the **LADO**, police and children’s social care services to agree the following:

- who needs to know and, importantly, exactly what information can be shared;
- how to manage speculation, leaks and gossip;
- what, if any, information can be reasonably given to the wider community to reduce speculation; and
- how to manage press interest if, and when, it should arise.

Managing the situation and exit arrangements Resignations and ‘settlement agreements’

If the accused person resigns, or ceases to provide their services, this should not prevent an allegation being followed up in accordance with this guidance. **A referral to the DBS must be made, if the criteria are met.** Schools and sixth form colleges must also consider whether a referral to the National College Teaching Leadership (NCTL) is appropriate. If the accused person resigns or their services cease to be used and the criteria are met, it will not be appropriate to reach a settlement/compromise agreement. Any settlement/compromise agreement that would prevent a school or college from making a DBS referral even though the criteria for referral are met, is likely to result in a criminal offence being committed. This is because the school or college would not be complying with its legal duty to make the referral.



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

It is important that every effort is made to reach a conclusion in all cases of allegations bearing on the safety or welfare of children, including any in which the person concerned refuses to cooperate with the process. Wherever possible, the accused should be given a full opportunity to answer the allegation and make representations about it. But the process of recording the allegation and any supporting evidence, and reaching a judgement about whether it can be substantiated on the basis of all the information available, should continue even if that cannot be done or the accused does not cooperate. It may be difficult to reach a conclusion in those circumstances, and it may not be possible to apply any disciplinary sanctions if a person's period of notice expires before the process is complete, but it is important to reach and record a conclusion wherever possible.

'Settlement agreements' (sometimes referred to as compromise agreements), by which a person agrees to resign if the employer agrees not to pursue disciplinary action, and both parties agree a form of words to be used in any future reference, should not be used in cases of refusal to cooperate or resignation before the person's notice period expires. Such an agreement will not prevent a thorough police investigation where that is appropriate.

Record keeping

Details of allegations that are found to have been malicious should be removed from personnel records however for all other allegations it is important that a clear and comprehensive summary of the allegation, details of how the allegation was followed up and resolved, and a note of any action taken and decisions reached, is kept on the confidential personnel file of the accused, and a copy provided to the person concerned.

The purpose of the record is to enable accurate information to be given in response to any future request for a reference, where appropriate. It will provide clarification in cases where future DBS checks reveal information from the police about an allegation that did not result in a criminal conviction and it will help to prevent unnecessary re-investigation if, as sometimes happens, an allegation re-surfaces after a period of time. The record should be retained at least until the accused has reached normal pension age or for a period of 10 years from the date of the allegation if that is longer.

The Information Commissioner has published guidance on employment records in its Employment Practices Code and supplementary guidance, which provides some practical advice on record retention.

References

Cases in which an allegation was proven to be false, unsubstantiated or malicious should not be included in employer references. A history of repeated concerns or allegations which have all been found to be false, unsubstantiated or malicious should also not be included in any reference.

Timescales

It is in everyone's interest to resolve cases as quickly as possible consistent with a fair and thorough investigation. All allegations should be investigated as a priority to avoid any delay. Target timescales are shown below: the time taken to investigate and resolve individual cases depends on a variety of factors including the nature, seriousness and complexity of the allegation, but these targets should be achieved in all but truly exceptional cases. It is expected that 80 per cent of cases should be resolved within one month, 90 per cent within three months, and all but the most exceptional cases should be completed within 12 months.

For those cases where it is clear immediately that the allegation is unsubstantiated or malicious, they



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

should be resolved within one week. Where the initial consideration decides that the allegation does not involve a possible criminal offence it will be for the employer to deal with it, although if there are concerns about child protection discuss them with the designated officer(s). In such cases, if the nature of the allegation does not require formal disciplinary action, the employer should instigate appropriate action within three working days. If a disciplinary hearing is required and can be held without further investigation, the hearing should be held within 15 working days.

Oversight and monitoring

The **LADO** has overall responsibility for oversight of the procedures for dealing with allegations, for resolving any inter-agency issues, and for liaison on the subject with those responsible for local multi-agency safeguarding arrangements. The **LADO** will provide advice and guidance to the case manager, in addition to liaising with the police and other agencies, and monitoring the progress of cases to ensure that they are dealt with as quickly as possible consistent with a thorough and fair process. Reviews should be conducted at fortnightly or monthly intervals, depending on the complexity of the case.

Police forces should also identify officers who will be responsible for:

- liaising with the **LADO**;
- taking part in the strategy discussion or initial evaluation;
- subsequently reviewing the progress of those cases in which there is a police investigation; and
- sharing information on completion of the investigation or any prosecution.

If the strategy discussion or initial assessment decides that a police investigation is required, the police should also set a target date for reviewing the progress of the investigation and consulting the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) about whether to: charge the individual; continue to investigate; or close the investigation. Wherever possible, that review should take place no later than four weeks after the initial evaluation. Dates for subsequent reviews, ideally at fortnightly intervals, should be set at the meeting if the investigation continues.

Suspension

The possible risk of harm to children posed by an accused person should be evaluated and managed in respect of the child(ren) involved in the allegations. In some rare cases that will require the case manager to consider suspending the accused until the case is resolved. Suspension should not be an automatic response when an allegation is reported: all options to avoid suspension should be considered prior to taking that step. If the case manager is concerned about the welfare of other children in the community or the teacher's family, those concerns should be reported to the designated officer(s), children's social care or the police. But suspension is highly unlikely to be justified on the basis of such concerns alone.

Suspension should be considered only in a case where there is cause to suspect a child or other children at the school or college is/are at risk of harm or the case is so serious that it might be grounds for dismissal. However, a person should not be suspended automatically: the case manager must consider carefully whether the circumstances warrant suspension from contact with children at the school or college or until the allegation is resolved, and may wish to seek advice from their personnel adviser and the designated officer(s). In cases where the school or college is made aware that the Secretary of State has made an interim prohibition order in respect of an individual at the school or college, immediate action should be taken to ensure that that individual does not carry out work in contravention of the order, i.e. pending the



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

findings of the TRA investigation,¹ the individual should not carry out teaching work.

The case manager should also consider whether the result that would be achieved by immediate suspension could be obtained by alternative arrangements. In many cases an investigation can be resolved quickly and without the need for suspension. If the LADO, police and children's social care services have no objections to the member of staff continuing to work during the investigation, the case manager should be as inventive as possible to avoid suspension. Based on assessment of risk, the following alternatives should be considered by the case manager before suspending a member of staff:

- redeployment within the school or college so that the individual does not have direct contact with the child or children concerned;
- providing an assistant to be present when the individual has contact with children;
- redeploying to alternative work in the school or college so the individual does not have unsupervised access to children;
- moving the child or children to classes where they will not come into contact with the member of staff, making it clear that this is not a punishment and parents have been consulted; or
- temporarily redeploying the member of staff to another role in a different location, for example to an alternative school or college or work for the local authority or academy trust.

These alternatives allow time for an informed decision regarding the suspension and possibly reduce the initial impact of the allegation. This will, however, depend upon the nature of the allegation. The case manager should consider the potential permanent professional reputational damage to employees that can result from suspension where an allegation is later found to be unsubstantiated or maliciously intended.

If immediate suspension is considered necessary, the rationale and justification for such a course of action should be agreed and recorded by both the case manager and the LADO. This should also include what alternatives to suspension have been considered and why they were rejected.

Where it has been deemed appropriate to suspend the person, written confirmation should be dispatched within one working day, giving as much detail as appropriate for the reasons for the suspension. It is not acceptable for an employer to leave a person who has been suspended without any support. The person should be informed at the point of their suspension who their named contact is within the organisation and provided with their contact details.

Children's social care services or the police cannot require the case manager to suspend a member of staff or a volunteer, although they should give appropriate weight to their advice. The power to suspend is vested in the proprietor of the school, or governing bodies of the school or college who are the employers of staff at the school or college. However, where a strategy discussion or initial evaluation concludes that there should be enquiries by the children's social care services and/or an investigation by the police, the LADO should canvass police and children's social care services for views about whether the accused member of staff needs to be suspended from contact with children in order to inform the school or college consideration of suspension. Police involvement does not make it mandatory to suspend a member of staff; this decision should be taken on a case-by-case basis having undertaken a risk assessment.

Information sharing

In a strategy discussion or the initial evaluation of the case, the agencies involved should share all relevant



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

information they have about the person who is the subject of the allegation, and about the alleged victim.

Where the police are involved, wherever possible the employer should ask the police to obtain consent from the individuals involved to share their statements and evidence for use in the employer disciplinary process. This should be done as their investigation proceeds and will enable the police to share relevant information without delay at the conclusion of their investigation or any court case.

Children's social care services should adopt a similar procedure when making enquiries to determine whether the child or children named in the allegation are in need of protection or services, so that any information obtained in the course of those enquiries which is relevant to a disciplinary case can be passed to the employer without delay.

Specific actions

Following a criminal investigation or a prosecution

The police should inform the employer and **LADO** immediately when a criminal investigation and any subsequent trial is complete, or if it is decided to close an investigation without charge, or not to continue to prosecute the case after the person has been charged. In those circumstances, **LADO** should discuss with the case manager whether any further action, including disciplinary action, is appropriate and, if so, how to proceed. The information provided by the police and/or children's social care services should inform that decision. The options will depend on the circumstances of the case and the consideration will need to take into account the result of the police investigation or the trial, as well as the different standard of proof required in disciplinary and criminal proceedings.

On conclusion of a case

If the allegation is substantiated and the person is dismissed or the employer ceases to use the person's services, or the person resigns or otherwise ceases to provide his or her services, the designated officer(s) should discuss with the case manager and their personnel adviser whether the school or college will decide to make a referral to the DBS for consideration of whether inclusion on the barred lists is required; and, in the case of a member of teaching staff, whether to refer the matter to the TRA to consider prohibiting the individual from teaching.

There is a legal requirement for employers to make a referral to the DBS where they think that an individual has engaged in conduct that harmed (or is likely to harm) a child; or if a person otherwise poses a risk of harm to a child.

Where it is decided on the conclusion of a case that a person who has been suspended can return to work, the case manager should consider how best to facilitate that. Most people will benefit from some help and support to return to work after a stressful experience. Depending on the individual's circumstances, a phased return and/or the provision of a mentor to provide assistance and support in the short term may be appropriate. The case manager should also consider how the person's contact with the child or children who made the allegation can best be managed if they are still a student at the Academy.

In respect of malicious or unsubstantiated allegations

If an allegation is determined to be unsubstantiated or malicious, the **LADO** should refer the matter to the children's social care services to determine whether the child concerned is in need of services, or may have been abused by someone else. If an allegation is shown to be deliberately invented or malicious, the headteacher, principal or proprietor should consider whether any disciplinary action is appropriate against the student who made it; or whether the police should be asked to consider if action might be appropriate



Grace Academy Solihull Procedures for Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Teachers, Other Staff & Volunteers linked to Safeguarding Policy

against the person responsible, even if he or she were not a student.

Learning lessons

At the conclusion of a case in which an allegation is substantiated, the LADO should review the circumstances of the case with the case manager to determine whether there are any improvements to be made to the school or college's procedures or practice to help prevent similar events in the future. This should include issues arising from the decision to suspend the member of staff, the duration of the suspension and whether or not suspension was justified. Lessons should also be learnt from the use of suspension when the individual is subsequently reinstated.

Further information

See the College of Policing: Engagement, Communication and Media relations